

POSSIBLE LIMIT LAWS FOR ENTRANCE TIMES OF AN ERGODIC APERIODIC DYNAMICAL SYSTEM

BY

Y. LACROIX

*Université de Picardie Jules Verne, Faculté de Math-Info
Lamfa, 33 rue St Leu, 80000 Amiens, France
e-mail: yves.lacroix@u-picardie.fr*

In memory of Anzelm Iwanik

ABSTRACT

Let \mathcal{G} denote the set of decreasing $G: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $G \equiv 1$ on $] -\infty, 0]$, and $\int_0^\infty G(t)dt \leq 1$. Let X be a compact metric space, and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a continuous map. Let μ denote a T -invariant ergodic probability measure on X , and assume (X, T, μ) to be aperiodic. Let $U \subset X$ be such that $\mu(U) > 0$. Let $\tau_U(x) = \inf\{k \geq 1 : T^k x \in U\}$, and define

$$G_U(t) = \frac{1}{\mu(U)} \mu(\{x \in U : \mu(U)\tau_U(x) > t\}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We prove that for μ -a.e. $x \in X$, there exists a sequence $(U_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of neighbourhoods of x such that $\{x\} = \bigcap_n U_n$, and for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$, there exists a subsequence $(n_k)_{k \geq 1}$ with

$$G_{U_{n_k}} \rightarrow G \text{ weakly.}$$

We also construct a uniquely ergodic Toeplitz flow $(\mathcal{O}(x^\infty), S, \mu)$, the orbit closure of a Toeplitz sequence x^∞ , such that the above conclusion still holds, with moreover the requirement that each U_n be a cylinder set.

1. Introduction

1.1. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS. Throughout, X shall denote a compact metric space, $T: X \rightarrow X$ a continuous map, and μ shall be a Borel T -invariant ergodic probability measure on X .

Given $U \subset X$ with $\mu(U) > 0$, Poincaré's recurrence theorem asserts the following random variable to be μ -a.s. well defined:

$$\tau_U(x) = \inf\{k \geq 1: T^k x \in U\}, \quad x \in X.$$

Next, Kac's return time theorem [K] reads

$$\mathbb{E}(\mu(U)\tau_U) = \sum_{t \geq 1} t\mu(U \cap \{\tau_U = t\}) = 1,$$

where the expectation is computed with respect to the induced probability measure on U , $\mu_U := \mu/\mu(U)$.

A remark is that (X, T, μ) is ergodic if and only if Kac's estimation for the expectation is valid for any subset having positive measure.

It is natural to try to understand finer statistical properties of the variable $\mu(U)\tau_U$ on the space $(U, \mathcal{B}(X) \cap U, \mu_U)$, for instance the distribution function $F_{\mu(U)\tau_U}(t) = \mu_U(\{x : \mu(U)\tau_U \leq t\})$.

Another approach, rather rapidly developing in the last decade, is to describe asymptotics for entrance times: let $x \in X$ and let $(U_n)_{n \geq 1}$ denote a decreasing sequence of neighbourhoods for x , with $\bigcap_n U_n = \{x\}$. The question then is whether $(F_{\mu(U_n)\tau_{U_n}})_{n \geq 1}$ converges weakly or not, and in the case it does, to describe the limit.

The limit has been shown to exist μ -a.s. for suitably chosen $(U_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and to be the distribution function of the positive exponential law with parameter 1, in many classes of mixing systems [AG], [BSTV], [C], [CC], [CG], [H1,2], [HSV], [P], [S], [Y]. Non-exponential asymptotics have been obtained in [CF] and [DM].

This short note is devoted to the two following goals:

- describe possible F_U s;
- describe possible asymptotics (a question raised in most papers listed above).

Essentially, the second relies on the sufficient achievement of the first. Let us therefore start by describing F_U s. For convenience, we shall put

$$G_U = 1 - F_U.$$

Clearly, G_U is

$$\begin{cases} \text{(i) decreasing,} \\ \text{(ii) right continuous,} \\ \text{(iii) simple,} \\ \text{(iv) has discontinuities at } \{t_1\mu(U) < \cdots < t_k\mu(U) < \cdots\}, \\ \text{(v) } G_U \equiv 1 \text{ on }]-\infty, 0], \end{cases}$$

where $\{t_1 < t_2 < \cdots\} = \{\tau_U(x): x \in U\}$. Since $\mu(U)\tau_U$ is a positive random variable, we can compute its expectation by

$$(vi) \quad 1 = \mathbb{E}(\mu(U)\tau_U) = \int_0^\infty G_U(t)dt,$$

which is yet another translation of Kac's theorem.

1.2. RESULTS. We first partially enough describe G_U s.

We call (X, T) **minimal** if any T -invariant closed subset $F \subset X$ is trivial. Within the class of minimal systems, recurrence to open subsets U occurs with **bounded gaps**, that is the set $\{t_1 < t_2 < \cdots\}$ is finite.

PROPOSITION 1: *Properties (i)–(vi) from the preliminaries, with the requirement that the set of discontinuity points $\{t_1\alpha < t_2\alpha < \cdots\}$ be finite, are characteristic for G_U s arising from minimal systems (X, T) .*

Let us now introduce a class \mathcal{G} , which will later serve as the set of weak limits of G_U s:

$$\mathcal{G} = \{G: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ decreasing, } \equiv 1 \text{ on }]-\infty, 0], \text{ with } \int_0^\infty G(t)dt \leq 1\}.$$

THEOREM 1: *For any ergodic aperiodic (X, T, μ) , and μ -a.e. $x \in X$, there exists a sequence $(U_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of neighbourhoods of x , with $\bigcap_n U_n = \{x\}$, such that for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$, along some subsequence (n_k) ,*

$$G_{U_{n_k}} \rightarrow G \text{ weakly,}$$

whence all possible asymptotics exist in any aperiodic ergodic system.

The key tool in proving Theorem 1, which is, as K. Petersen pointed out to the author, a Van Strassen type theorem for asymptotics, is to understand how G_U s having rational parameters can be constructed within periodic systems.

This result is of course in contrast with exponential asymptotics as quoted before, but this is due to the fact that the U_n s in Theorem 1 are extremely weird.

It therefore seemed interesting to us to obtain the same result, but within a system where the U_n s may be chosen to be “real” cylinder sets. This is possible indeed, and before stating our last result we will introduce a few more notions.

Unique ergodicity of the flow (X, T) means that there is only one T -invariant probability measure μ ; it implies ergodicity.

Strict ergodicity means both minimality and unique ergodicity.

Let \mathcal{A} denote a finite set, an **alphabet**.

A **pattern** $U = (u_0, \dots, u_{n-1})$ over \mathcal{A} is a finite string over \mathcal{A} .

Its **length** is $|U| = n$.

Given two patterns U and V , their **concatenation** is the obvious pattern, denoted UV , of length $|U| + |V|$.

Let $x \in \Omega^+ := \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ or $\Omega := \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a one or two sided sequence over \mathcal{A} .

On Ω^+ or Ω , define $S(x_n) = (x_{n+1})$, the **shift** transformation.

The **orbit closure** of x , $\mathcal{O}(x)$, is the closure of the orbit, $\mathcal{O}(x) := \overline{\{S^n x\}}$.

It is shift invariant, $(\mathcal{O}(x), S)$ is a topological flow, the **flow generated** by x .

A **Toeplitz flow** is the flow generated by a Toeplitz sequence.

A **Toeplitz sequence** [JK], [O] is an element $x \in \Omega$ which is non-periodic, but satisfies:

$$\forall n, \exists p(n) > 0: \forall k, x_n = x_{n+kp(n)}.$$

A Toeplitz flow is always minimal.

THEOREM 2: *There exists a uniquely ergodic Toeplitz sequence $x^\infty \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that if (X, T, μ) denotes the generated shift dynamical system, and if (V_k) denotes the sequence of cylinders intersecting x^∞ , for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$, there exists a subsequence (U_n) of (V_k) , intersecting x^∞ , with the property that*

$$\lim_n G_{U_n} = G, \text{ weakly.}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The author thanks A. Fan, B. Saussol and D. Schneider for kindly listening to preliminary constructions and helping to clarify the arguments.

2. Proof of Proposition 1

• \implies : This is already done in the Preliminaries sub-section where conditions (i)–(vi) are shown to hold for any G_U .

By minimality the set of recurrence times $\{t_1 < t_2 < \dots\}$ must be finite.

• \impliedby : Let us be given a G having finitely many discontinuities located at $\{t_1\alpha < \dots < t_{k+1}\alpha\}$ for some positive α .

We let $\beta_j = G(t_j\alpha^-) - G(t_j\alpha^+)$, $j = 1, \dots, k+1$.

We will produce a strictly ergodic $y \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that, if $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \dots$ denotes the set of indices n with $y_n = 1$, then

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \lim_N \frac{1}{N} \#\{i: k_i < N\} = \alpha, \\ \forall i, k_{i+1} - k_i \in \{t_j\}, \\ \lim_N \frac{1}{N} \#\{i: k_i < N \text{ and } k_{i+1} - k_i = t_j\} = \alpha\beta_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, k+1. \end{cases}$$

If this can be achieved, then we will put

$$X = \overline{\{S^n y: n \geq 0\}}, \quad T = S, \quad U = 1,$$

where 1 denotes both the symbol 1 or the cylinder set $1 = \{z \in X: z_0 = 1\}$. It is then an exercise to check that $G = G_U$.

CONSTRUCTION OF y . We put $I_j = [\sum_{s < j} \beta_s, \sum_{s \leq j} \beta_s[$ (recall $\sum_j \beta_j = 1$).

Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = [0, 1[$ and select θ irrational, such that θ and the $\sum_{j < s} \beta_j$'s are rationally independent.

Define $Rz = z + \theta \bmod 1$, $z \in \mathbb{T}$.

The partition (I_j) of \mathbb{T} is generating for the minimal uniquely ergodic rotation R on \mathbb{T} .

Apply repeatedly R to produce a sequence $(j_n) \in \{1, \dots, k+1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, defined by

$$j_n = j \iff R^n(0) \in I_j.$$

Then construct y by defining the sequence $(k_0 = 0 < k_1 < \dots)$ first:

$$k_n = \sum_{s < n} t_{j_s}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Finally y is obtained by putting "1"s at positions k_n and "0"s elsewhere. \blacksquare

3. Proof of Theorem 1

3.1. RATIONAL GS AND RED/GREEN LADDERS. We say a G as in Proposition 1 is **rational** if its parameters, i.e., α , β_j , and t_j , are rational.

By Proposition 1, and its proof, such G is a G_U .

However, in the case of rationality of parameters, we shall show how to produce G_U such that $G = G_U$ for some pattern U , and some uniquely ergodic periodic system $X = \{S^n x: 0 \leq n < P\}$ (with period P).

Set, for rational G , $\alpha = p/q$ and $\beta_j = p_j/q$.

As in Proposition 1, we can assume that $t_1 \gg 3$.

Let $N = Mq^2$, and set $n_j = Mpp_j$.

Consider a perfectly periodic Rohlin tower of height N , label its levels numbered from 0 to $N - 1$, and assume at the beginning that they all have a green color.

Change to red the color of the levels with numbers

$$0, t_1, 2t_1, \dots, (n_1 - 1)t_1, n_1t_1, n_1t_1 + t_2, \dots, n_1t_1 + n_2t_2, \dots, \sum_{j < k} n_jt_j + (n_k - 1)t_k.$$

Then let U denote the union of the red levels. It has density, within the tower, equal to α by construction, and red levels are separated by runs of consecutive greens having lengths $t_j - 1$, hence U returns to itself with times t_1, \dots, t_k .

Moreover, the density of reds returning to reds by time t_j is precisely $\alpha\beta_j$ within the tower, for each j , whence $G = G_U$.

We will keep in mind the idea that a rational G with finitely many discontinuities can be figured by this red/green ladder, a periodic Rohlin tower, with U equal to the union of the red levels, bottom marked red.

We observe that the set of weak limits of such G s are the elements of \mathcal{G} .

3.2. APERIODICITY AND KAC TOWNS.

LEMMA 1: *The system (X, T, μ) is non-periodic (if and) only if for μ -a.e. $x \in X$, for any $m \geq 1$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $B(x, \varepsilon)$ denotes the open ball centered at x and having radius ε , then*

$$B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq \{\tau_{B(x, \varepsilon)} > m\}.$$

Proof: Assume that for some $m \geq 1$, if

$$A_m = \{x : \forall \varepsilon > 0, B(x, \varepsilon) \cap \{\tau_{B(x, \varepsilon)} \leq m\}\},$$

$\mu(A_m) > 0$. Then pick a subsequence $\varepsilon_q \downarrow 0$ together with some $n \leq m$, such that for each q , there exists y_q with $d(x, y_q) < \varepsilon_q$ (“ d ” is some distance defining the X -topology), and $d(x, T^n y_q) < \varepsilon_q$. Then get

$$0 \leq d(x, T^n x) \leq \limsup_q d(x, T^n y_q) + \limsup_q d(T^n y_q, T^n x) = 0,$$

because $y_q \rightarrow x$ and T^n is continuous, whence $A_m \subset \{x : \exists n \leq m, x = T^n x\}$. Hence if $\mu(A_m) > 0$ for some m , (X, T, μ) has a periodic component.

The “if” part is left to the reader. ■

The standard way to prove Kac's theorem within an ergodic system is to take some U with $\mu(U) > 0$, to consider that $\mu(\{\tau_U < +\infty\}) = 1$ by ergodicity, and to cut basin U into sets

$$U \cap \{\tau_U = k\}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Then above each $U \cap \{\tau_U = k\}$ having positive measure starts a skyscraper having k floors exactly (bottom one included), along which the action of T goes up until it reaches the top floor where it returns to U some way.

Hence the whole space X is (mod 0) the union of those skyscrapers with basins of the form $U \cap \{\tau_U = k\}$ that cover U . This picture is a **Kac town**.

Our Lemma 1 states that *in an aperiodic ergodic system, a.s., Kac towns based on ε -balls at the point, having arbitrarily large minimal heights, exist.*

3.3. LIGHTING FLOORS ON OR OFF: PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Take a rational G having finitely many discontinuities, as in Proposition 1, and construct a red/green ladder or sheave realizing it.

Choose some $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary. Consider a Kac town based on some small neighbourhood of $x \in X$ having positive measure, and let $m \gg 1$ be its minimal height ($\{\tau_U \geq m\}$ on U).

Within each skyscraper in the town, start piling up red/green ladders starting from the bottom floor, assuming a ladder step has a floor height. Don't stop piling unless the ladder piled exceeds the skyscrapers top floor.

Then according to whether a ladder's step is red or green, either turn the floor light on, or leave it turned off.

Set W equal to the union of the lightened floors in Kac's city.

Then W has measure

$$|\mu(W) - \alpha| \leq \mu(\text{union of floors above ladders}),$$

which can be made less than ε provided m is chosen large enough.

Second, $\{t_1, \dots, t_k\} \subset \tau_W(W)$, and

$$\mu(\{x \in W : \tau_W(x) \notin \{t_1, \dots, t_k\}\})$$

can be made smaller than ε provided m is chosen large enough.

Taking a sequence $\varepsilon_q \downarrow 0$, this procedure shows that *any weak limit of a sequence of rational G s as in Proposition 1 can be attained as a weak limit of G_W s as constructed above.*

Finally, collect rational G s in a sequence $(G_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Let $G_{n,q} = G_{W_{n,q}}$ be the G_W associated to G_n and ε_q , and collect together within a sequence $(U_n)_{n \geq 0}$ the union of the sequences $(W_{n,q})$ and $(B(x, \varepsilon_q))$. Then $\bigcap_n U_n = \{x\}$. ■

4. Proof of Theorem 2

4.1. RATIONAL GS: HOLES INSTEAD OF RED/GREEN LADDERS AND PERIODIC SEQUENCES. Recall a G as in Proposition 1 is **rational** if its parameters, i.e., α, β_j , and t_j , are rational. Such G is a G_U , by Proposition 1.

However, in the case of rationality of parameters, we shall show how to produce G_U such that $G = G_U$ for some pattern U , and some uniquely ergodic periodic system $X = \{S^n x: 0 \leq n < P\}$.

Set, for rational G , $\alpha = p/q$ and $\beta_j = p_j/q$.

As in Proposition 1, we can assume that $t_1 \gg 3$.

Let $N = Mq^2$, and set $n_j = Mpp_j$.

Assume throughout that \vee denotes a symbol not in \mathcal{A} .

Select $U = ab^2$, with $a \neq b$ in \mathcal{A} .

Then put

$$J_j = ab^{t_j-3} \vee a, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k+1,$$

and set

$$J = \underbrace{J_1 \cdots J_1}_{n_1 \text{ times}} \cdots \underbrace{J_j \cdots J_j}_{n_j \text{ times}} \cdots \underbrace{J_{k+1} \cdots J_{k+1}}_{n_{k+1} \text{ times}} = J_1^{n_1} \cdots J_{k+1}^{n_{k+1}}$$

(notice that $|J| = N$, $|J_j| = t_j$).

Finally set $x = \cdots J \cdots J \cdots J J \cdots = J^\infty$, with $x[0, |J|] = J$ ($x \in (\mathcal{A} \cup \{\vee\})^\mathbb{Z}$).

Then the least period of x is $|J|$, because since $t_1 < \cdots < t_{k+1}$, J can occur in x only at positions $[n|J|, (n+1)|J|]$ for some $n \geq 0$.

The desired frequencies are issued by construction, i.e., $G = G_U$ where $U = \{z \in \{S^n x: n \geq 0\}: z[0, |U|] = U\}$ (once again, we do not distinguish the pattern and the cylinder it defines on the orbit closure).

4.2. INDUCTION. The set of rational G s satisfying conditions of Proposition 1 is countable.

We can collect them all along a sequence, $(G^{(n)})_{n \geq 0}$.

•**First step:** Let $x^{(0)}$ be as in sub-section 4.1 for $G^{(0)}$, with notation maintained except that we add some superscript “ (0) ”.

•**Second step:** $G^{(1)}$ comes with parameters $\alpha^{(1)} = p^{(1)}/q^{(1)}$, $\beta_j^{(1)} = p_j^{(1)}/q^{(1)}$, $t_1^{(1)} < \cdots < t_{k^{(1)}+1}^{(1)}$.

The idea is to construct $x^{(1)} = (J^{(1)})^\infty$ realizing $G^{(1)}$, with $J^{(1)}$ a concatenation of $J^{(0)}$ s, among which some have had their holes filled in.

We can assume, reducing $\alpha^{(1)}$ if necessary, and multiplying the $t_j^{(1)}$ s consequently, that $t_j^{(1)} = s_j^{(1)} N^{(0)}$, for each j , and $s_1^{(1)} \gg 3$.

Let us put $N^{(1)} = M^{(1)}(q^{(1)})^2$, and $n_j^{(1)} = M^{(1)}p_j^{(1)}p_j^{(1)}$.

Each $J_j^{(0)}$ has a single hole (letter \vee).

For $c \in \mathcal{A}$, and B a pattern over alphabet $\mathcal{A} \cup \{\vee\}$, let cB be the pattern deduced from B by filling in all the holes with a “ c ”.

Set $J_j^{(1)} = {}^a J^{(0)} ({}^b J^{(0)})^{s_j^{(1)}-3} J^{(0)a} J^{(0)}$, then set

$$J^{(1)} = (J_1^{(1)})^{n_1^{(1)}} \dots (J_{k^{(1)}+1}^{(1)})^{n_{k^{(1)}+1}^{(1)}},$$

which has length $N^{(1)}$.

Then using the fact that $s_1^{(1)} < \dots < s_{k^{(1)}+1}^{(1)}$, and the procedure followed to fill in some holes, together with the fact that $J^{(0)}$ was the minimal periodic pattern of $x^{(0)}$, we deduce that $x^{(1)} = (J^{(1)})^\infty$ has minimal period $N^{(1)} = |J^{(1)}|$.

Next set $U^{(1)} = {}^a J^{(0)b} J^{(0)b} J^{(0)}$.

Then $G^{(1)} = G_{U^{(1)}}$ on $(\mathcal{O}(x^{(1)}), S)$.

Notice that $G^{(0)}$ remains equal to $G_{U^{(0)}}$ on $(\mathcal{O}(x^{(1)}), S)$.

This is because ab^2 has received no new possible occurrence in the process of filling holes that deduces $x^{(1)}$ from $x^{(0)}$.

•**Induction:** Let $n \geq 1$ (similar to the passage from step “0” to “1”).

We have for superscripts $\ell = 0, \dots, n$ constructed periodic sequences $x^{(\ell)}$, with $x^{(\ell)} = (J^{(\ell)})^\infty$, $|J^{(\ell)}| = N^{(\ell)}$, $x^{(\ell)}$ with minimal period $N^{(\ell)}$, we have for $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ constructed $U^{(\ell)} = {}^a J^{(\ell)b} J^{(\ell)b} J^{(\ell)}$, and $U^{(0)} = abb$, such that on $(\mathcal{O}(x^{(n)}), S)$, $G_{U^{(\ell)}}$ realizes $G^{(\ell)}$. Then $G^{(n+1)}$ comes along with parameters $\alpha^{(n+1)} = p^{(n+1)}/q^{(n+1)}$, $\beta_j^{(n+1)} = p_j^{(n+1)}/q^{(n+1)}$, $t_1^{(n+1)} < \dots < t_{k^{(n+1)}+1}^{(n+1)}$, and we already assume that $t_j^{(n+1)} = s_j^{(n+1)}N^{(n)}$ with $s_j^{(n+1)} \gg 3$.

Then set $N^{(n+1)} = M^{(n+1)}(q^{(n+1)})^2$, $n_j^{(n+1)} = M^{(n+1)}p_j^{(n+1)}p_j^{(n+1)}$, and $J_j^{(n+1)} = {}^a J^{(n)} ({}^b J^{(n)})^{s_j^{(n+1)}-3} J^{(n)a} J^{(n)}$, $J^{(n+1)} = (J_1^{(n+1)})^{n_1^{(n+1)}} \dots (J_{k^{(n+1)}+1}^{(n+1)})^{n_{k^{(n+1)}+1}^{(n+1)}}$. Finally set $U^{(n+1)} = {}^a J^{(n)b} J^{(n)b} J^{(n)}$, $x^{(n+1)} = (J^{(n+1)})^\infty$.

By construction, since $s_1^{(n+1)} < \dots < s_{k^{(n+1)}+1}^{(n+1)}$, we have $x^{(n+1)}$ with least period $N^{(n+1)} = |J^{(n+1)}|$. And the process deducing $x^{(n+1)}$ from $x^{(n)}$ did not add any new occurrence of $U^{(0)}, \dots, U^{(n)}$, whence $(\mathcal{O}(x^{(n+1)}), S)$ realizes $G^{(n+1)} = G_{U^{(n+1)}}$; it does so simultaneously for $G^{(0)}, \dots, G^{(n)}$.

4.3. CONCLUSION. The sequence $(x^{(n)})_{n \geq 0}$ converges pointwise to some $x^{(\infty)} \in \{a, b, \vee\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Since $N^{(n)} = |J^{(n)}| \rightarrow \infty$, it follows by construction that in fact $x^{(\infty)}$ has no more holes, i.e., $x^{(\infty)} \in \{a, b\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

The periodic pattern $J^{(n)}$ of $x^{(n)}$ has say h_n holes. Then the one of $x^{(n+1)}$ has $h_{n+1} = h_n(\sum_{j=1}^{k^{(n+1)}+1} n_j^{(n+1)}) = h_n p^{(n+1)} M^{(n+1)}(\sum_j p_j^{(n+1)})$. Else the period of

$x^{(n+1)}$ is $N^{(n+1)} = \sum_j |J_j^{(n+1)}| n_j^{(n+1)} = M^{(n+1)} p^{(n+1)} N^{(n)} (\sum_j p_j^{(n+1)} s_j^{(n+1)})$.

We deduce that the density of holes in $x^{(n+1)}$ is

$$\frac{h_{n+1}}{N^{(n+1)}} = \frac{\sum_j p_j^{(n+1)}}{\sum_j p_j^{(n+1)} s_j^{(n+1)}} \leq \frac{1}{s_1^{(n+1)}}.$$

We assume that $1/s_1^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$.

The conclusion is that by [JK], x^∞ is the quasi-uniform limit of the periodic sequences $x^{(n)}$. Hence it follows that $(\mathcal{O}(x), S)$ is a uniquely ergodic (regular) Toeplitz flow.

Further, by construction $U^{(n)}$ occurs in x^∞ in positions where it did in $x^{(n)}$. A consequence, by unique ergodicity, is that $G_{U^{(n)}}$ still realizes $G^{(n)}$, for each n , on $(\mathcal{O}(x^\infty), S, \mu)$.

To conclude, we need to know that $\bigcap U^{(n)} = \{x^\infty\}$ in $\mathcal{O}(x^\infty)$. This will hold as soon as $|U^{(n)}| \rightarrow \infty$, because x^∞ is Toeplitz (usually cylinder basins have patterns extending to both $-\infty$ and $+\infty$): indeed $\{x^\infty\}$ is the fiber over zero for the maximal equicontinuous factor of the Toeplitz flow, when constructed as in [W].

Since this factor is some adding machine, for which forward orbits determine the point, x^∞ is completely determined by $x^\infty[0, +\infty[$.

To fit Theorem 2, set $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$. ■

References

- [AG] M. Abadi and A. Galves, *Inequalities for the occurrence times of rare events in mixing processes, The state of art*, Markov Processes and Related Fields **7** (2001), 97–112.
- [BSTV] H. Bruin, B. Saussol, S. Troubetzkoy and S. Vaienti, *Return time statistics via inducing*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems (2002), to appear.
- [C] Z. Coelho, *Asymptotic laws for symbolic dynamical systems*, Proceedings of the Conference held in Temuco, 1997, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **279**, Cambridge University Press, 2000, pp. 123–165.
- [CC] Z. Coelho and P. Collet, *Poisson laws associated to subsystems of finite type in symbolic dynamical systems*, Preprint (2000).
- [CF] Z. Coelho and E. de Faria, *Limit laws for entrance times for homeomorphisms of the circle*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **69** (1990), 235–249.
- [CG] P. Collet and A. Galves, *Statistics of close visits to the indifferent fixed point of an interval map*, Journal of Statistical Physics **72** (1993), 459–478.

- [DM] F. Durand and A. Maass, *Limit laws for entrance times for low complexity Cantor minimal systems*, *Nonlinearity* **14** (2001), 683–700.
- [F] S. Ferenczi, *Systems of finite rank*, *Colloquium Mathematicum* **73** (1997), 35–65.
- [H1] M. Hirata, *Poisson law for Axiom A diffeomorphisms*, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* **13** (1993), 533–556.
- [H2] M. Hirata, *Poisson law for the dynamical systems with the “self-mixing” conditions*, in *Dynamical Systems and Chaos* **1**, World Science Publishing, NJ, 1995, pp. 87–96.
- [HSV] M. Hirata, B. Saussol and S. Vaienti, *Statistics of return times: a general framework and new applications*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **206** (1999), 33–55.
- [JK] K. Jacobs and M. Keane, *0-1 sequences of Toeplitz type*, *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete* **13** (1969), 123–131.
- [K] M. Kac, *On the notion of recurrence in discrete stochastic processes*, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **53** (1947), 1002–1010.
- [O] J. C. Oxtoby, *Ergodic sets*, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **58** (1952), 116–136.
- [P] B. Pittskel, *Poisson limit laws for Markov chains*, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* **11** (1991), 501–513.
- [S] B. Saussol, *Etude statistique de systèmes dynamiques dilatants*, PhD Thesis, Toulon, France, 1998.
- [W] S. Williams, *Toeplitz minimal flows which are not uniquely ergodic*, *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete* **67** (1984), 95–107.
- [Y] L.S. Young, *Recurrence times and rates of mixing*, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **110** (1999), 153–188.